The



project

The Generic Haskell project

The Generic Haskell project started in 2000, and will finish in 2004. The people that are or have been involved: Frank Atanassow, Dave Clarke, Ralf Hinze, Johan Jeuring, and Andres Löh, together with some students.

The project consists of three subprojects:

- → Programming language and compiler.
- → Theory.
- → Applications of generic programming.

Generic Haskell: programming language

In the Generic Haskell programming language (which is not defined precisely) we can write:

- → Generic (type-indexed) functions with kind-indexed types.
- → Type-indexed data types.
- → Dependencies between generic functions.
- → Cases for specific types and constructors.

Generic functions with kind-indexed types

→ Ralf Hinze. Polytypic values possess polykinded types. In *MPC* 2000.

```
\begin{array}{lll} \textit{equal} \langle \mathsf{t} :: \kappa \rangle & :: \textit{Equal} \langle \langle \kappa \rangle \rangle \; \mathsf{t} \\ & \mathsf{type} \; \textit{Equal} \langle \langle \star \rangle \rangle & \mathsf{t} = \mathsf{t} \to \mathsf{t} \to \mathsf{Bool} \\ & \mathsf{type} \; \textit{Equal} \langle \langle \kappa \to \nu \rangle \rangle \; \mathsf{t} = \forall \mathsf{u}. \textit{Equal} \langle \langle \kappa \rangle \rangle \; \mathsf{u} \to \textit{Equal} \langle \langle \nu \rangle \rangle \; (\mathsf{t} \; \mathsf{u}) \\ & \textit{equal} \langle \mathsf{Unit} \rangle & \textit{Unit} & \textit{Unit} & = \textit{True} \\ & \textit{equal} \langle \mathsf{Int} \rangle & i & j & = \textit{eqInt} \; i \; j \\ & \textit{equal} \langle \mathsf{h} \rangle \; \textit{eqa} \; \textit{eqb} \; (\textit{Inl} \; a_1) \; (\textit{Inl} \; a_2) = \textit{eqa} \; a_1 \; a_2 \\ & \textit{equal} \langle \mathsf{+} \rangle \; \textit{eqa} \; \textit{eqb} \; (\textit{Inr} \; b_1) \; (\textit{Inr} \; b_2) = \textit{eqb} \; b_1 \; b_2 \\ & \textit{equal} \langle \mathsf{+} \rangle \; \textit{eqa} \; \textit{eqb} \; - & = \textit{False} \\ & \textit{equal} \langle \mathsf{\times} \rangle \; \textit{eqa} \; \textit{eqb} \; (a_1, b_1) \; (a_2, b_2) = \textit{eqa} \; a_1 \; a_2 \; \wedge \; \textit{eqb} \; b_1 \; b_2 \\ \end{array}
```

Type-indexed data types

→ Ralf Hinze, Johan Jeuring and Andres Löh. Type-indexed data types. In *MPC* 2002.

```
type FMap\langle Unit \ranglev = FMUnit (Maybe v)type FMap\langle Char \ranglev = FMChar (DictChar v)type FMap\langle + \rangle fma fmb v = FMEither (fma v, fmb v)type FMap\langle \times \rangle fma fmb v = FMProd (fma (fmb v))
```

Dependencies between generic functions

→ Andres Löh, Dave Clarke and Johan Jeuring. Dependency-style Generic Haskell. In *ICFP* 2003. (With a slightly different syntax.)

```
\begin{array}{lll} equal \langle \mathsf{Unit} \rangle & \mathit{Unit} & \mathit{Unit} & = \mathit{True} \\ equal \langle \mathsf{Int} \rangle & i & j & = eqInt \ i \ j \\ equal \langle \mathsf{a} + \mathsf{b} \rangle & (\mathit{Inl} \ a_1) & (\mathit{Inl} \ a_2) & = equal \langle \mathsf{a} \rangle \ a_1 \ a_2 \\ equal \langle \mathsf{a} + \mathsf{b} \rangle & (\mathit{Inr} \ b_1) & (\mathit{Inr} \ b_2) & = equal \langle \mathsf{b} \rangle \ b_1 \ b_2 \\ equal \langle \mathsf{a} + \mathsf{b} \rangle & - & = \mathit{False} \\ equal \langle \mathsf{a} \times \mathsf{b} \rangle & (a_1, b_1) & (a_2, b_2) & = equal \langle \mathsf{a} \rangle \ a_1 \ a_2 \wedge equal \langle \mathsf{b} \rangle \ b_1 \ b_2 \\ equal \langle \mathsf{a} \to \mathsf{b} \rangle \ f_1 & f_2 & = equal \langle [\mathsf{b}] \rangle & (\mathit{map} \ f_1 \ \mathit{enum} \langle \mathsf{a} \rangle) & (\mathit{map} \ f_2 \ \mathit{enum} \langle \mathsf{a} \rangle) \\ equal \langle \mathsf{a} :: \star \rangle & :: \ (\mathit{equal}, \mathit{enum}) \Rightarrow \mathsf{a} \to \mathsf{a} \to \mathsf{Bool} \end{array}
```

Cases for specific types and constructors

→ Dave Clarke, Andres Löh, Generic Haskell, Specifically . In Working Conference on Generic Programming, 2003.

```
\begin{array}{ll} \textit{equal} \langle \ \textbf{case} \ \textit{Whitespace} \rangle \ \_ \ \_ = \textit{True} \\ \textit{equal} \langle \textit{Set a} \rangle & s_1 \ s_2 = \textit{eqSet} \ s_1 \ s_2 \end{array}
```

Generic Haskell: compiler

- → The Generic Haskell compiler compiles Generic Haskell modules to Haskell.
- → The compiler is a bit behind theoretical developments. In particular, (part of) dependency-style Generic Haskell has only been implemented in a separate type checker.
- → The generated code is still rather inefficient. Efficient code can be obtained via partial evaluation. Partial evaluation techniques are given in
 - Alimarine and Smetsers, MPC 2004 (for Clean),
 - Martijn de Vries' MSc thesis (for Generic Haskell, using a compiler flag).

Generic Haskell: theory

- → Ralf Hinze and Johan Jeuring. Generic Haskell: Practice and Theory. In *Generic Programming*, LNCS 2793, 2003.
- → Andres Löh. Exploring Generic Haskell. Forthcoming PhD thesis (September 2004).

Generic Haskell: methodology

- → Look at a couple of examples, and generalise from those to obtain a generic program.
- → 'Translate' category theory into Generic Haskell.

Applications of generic programming

- → A generic dictionary.
- → XComprez, a generic compressor.
- → A generic zipper.
- → A generic structure editor.
- → A generic database binding.
- → UUXML: A Type-Preserving XML Schema Haskell Data Binding.
- → Inferring type isomorphisms generically.

UUXML

- → Frank Atanassow, Dave Clarke, and Johan Jeuring. UUXML: A Type-Preserving XML Schema Haskell Data Binding. In PADL 2004.
- → Translates an XML Schema S into a Haskell data type DS.
- → A parser that parses an XML document that is valid with respect to S into a value of type DS.
- → Takes care of XML Schema subtyping, mixed content, number of occurrences, arbitrary attribute order, etc.

The problem with UUXML

Suppose I have an XML Schema for representing books. An example document which validates against this schema is:

```
<doc key="homer-iliad">
  <author>Homer</author>
  <title>The Iliad</title>
</doc>
```

The problem with UUXML

Suppose I have an XML Schema for representing books. An example document which validates against this schema is:

```
<doc key="homer-iliad">
  <author>Homer</author>
  <title>The Iliad</title>
</doc>
```

UUXML translates this to:

```
EQ.E.doc (E.doc (Elem () (EQ.T.docType (T.docType (Seq (A.key (Attr (EQ.T.string (T.string "homer-iliad")))) (Seq (Rep (ZI [EQ.E.author (E.author (Elem () (EQ.T.string (T.string "Homer"))))])) (Seq (EQ.E.title (E.title (Elem () (EQ.T.string (T.string "The Iliad"))))) (Rep (ZS Nothing (Rep ZZ))))))))))
```

Inferring type isomorphisms generically

Ideal translation target

```
\begin{aligned} \textbf{data} \ \textit{Doc} &= \textit{Doc}\{\textit{key} :: \mathsf{String}, \textit{authors} :: [\mathsf{String}], \\ & \textit{title} :: \mathsf{String}, \textit{pubDate} :: \mathsf{Maybe} \ \mathsf{PubDate} \} \\ \textbf{data} \ \mathsf{PubDate} &= \mathsf{PubDate}\{\textit{year} :: \mathsf{Integer}, \textit{month} :: \mathsf{Integer}\} \end{aligned}
```

- → We have written generic functions to automatically convert values of one (complicated, generated) type to another (simple, user-specified, and canonically isomorphic) type.
- → Frank Atanassow, and Johan Jeuring. Inferring type isomorphisms generically. In *MPC* 2004.

XComprez

- → Ralf Hinze and Johan Jeuring. Generic Haskell: Applications. In *Generic Programming*, LNCS 2793, 2003.
- → Compressor that uses the structure of the data.
- → Four versions:
 - Constructors to bits (based on earlier work by Patrik Jansson and myself)
 - (Adaptive) Huffman coding (Paul Hagg)
 - (Adaptive) arithmetic coding (Jeroen Snijders)
 - (To be done:) PPM
- → Together with the XML data binding this gives a useful tool for XML compression.

Current work

At the moment or in the near future we will work on:

- → Views on data types.
- → Partial type-inference for generic functions.
- → Other translation techniques (goal: first-class generic functions).
- → Adminstrative applications (model/view/controller).
- → ...

Function *children*

Suppose we want to define a function that calculates the recursive children of a data type. Here are two examples:

```
 \begin{array}{lll} \textbf{data List a} &= Nil \mid Cons \text{ a (List a)} \\ childrenList & :: \text{ List a} \rightarrow [\text{List a}] \\ childrenList & Nil & = [] \\ childrenList & (Cons x xs) = [xs] \\ \textbf{data Tree a} &= Empty \mid Bin \text{ (Tree a) a (Tree a)} \\ childrenTree & :: \text{ Tree a} \rightarrow [\text{Tree a}] \\ childrenTree & Empty & = [] \\ childrenTree & (Bin l x r) &= [l,r] \\ \end{array}
```

We cannot define a generic function in Generic Haskell that generalizes from these examples.

A fixed-point view

Suppose we would view data types as fixed points of functors

data Fix f =
$$In (f (Fix f))$$

data ListF a r = $Unit + a \times r$
type List a = $Fix (ListF a)$

then we could define function children as

$$children \langle \mathsf{Fix} \, \mathsf{f} \rangle \, (In \, r) = flatten \langle \mathsf{f} \rangle \, (\lambda x \to [x]) \, r$$

Views on data types: motivation

- → In Generic Haskell we use a particular view on data types: for each data type the compiler automatically constructs:
 - a structure type, using binary, right associative, sums and products;
 - an embedding-projection pair, translating a data type value to a structure type value and vice versa.
- → This view on data types partially determines which generic functions can be defined. Using this view, we can
 - write functions that depend on the order of the constructors of a data type.
 - not write the generic catamorphism.
- → It is desirable to be able to pick a view depending on the kind of function you write:
 - a fixed-point view when defining catamorphisms,
 - a list of product elements view when editing.

Defining a view on data types

- → The current view is not perfect.
- → Suppose there exists an ideal semantic view of data types: a set of data types is given by a set of declarations using
 - type abstraction and type application,
 - labelled associative and commutative sums,
 - associative anonymous products,
 - and labelled associative and commutative products.
- → A *view* on a data type maps (a subset of) these data types on a particular set of data type constructors. A view consists of:
 - a type-indexed data type (on the ideal semantic view) mapping a data type onto a structure type,
 - An embedding-projection pair mapping a value of a data type onto a value of the structure type and vice versa.

Views on data types

- → We want to be able to define different views on data types.
- → We have to answer several questions:
 - How do we generate code for a generic function using a different view?
 - Should we adapt the current standard view of Generic Haskell?
 - How do we combine several different views in a single program?
 - Which properties should the structure type and the embedding-projection pair satisfy in order to constitute a meaningful view?

- ...

- → The Generic Haskell project has made a valuable contribution to the field.
- → The number of questions raised exceeds the number of problems solved (like in any good project?).
- → The future in the Netherlands is uncertain.

- → The Generic Haskell project has made a valuable contribution to the field.
- → The number of questions raised exceeds the number of problems solved (like in any good project?).
- → The future in the Netherlands is uncertain.
- → Want to know more about generic programming in Clean: register for the next Advanced Functional Programming School!

- → The Generic Haskell project has made a valuable contribution to the field.
- → The number of questions raised exceeds the number of problems solved (like in any good project?).
- → The future in the Netherlands is uncertain.
- → Want to know more about generic programming in Clean: register for the next Advanced Functional Programming School!
- → The next datatype generic programming workshop will be in Utrecht next year.

- → The Generic Haskell project has made a valuable contribution to the field.
- → The number of questions raised exceeds the number of problems solved (like in any good project?).
- → The future in the Netherlands is uncertain.
- → Want to know more about generic programming in Clean: register for the next Advanced Functional Programming School!
- → The next datatype generic programming workshop will be in Utrecht next year.
- → Lets thank Jeremy