# Environmental Bisimulations and Its Open Questions

Eijiro Sumii (Tohoku University)

# **Executive Summary**

- Environmental bisimulations: A proof method for contextual equivalence
  - Syntactic/operational/"elementary"
  - Applicable to rich languages: Polymorphic/untyped λ-calculi with recursive functions/types and general references/encryption, higher-order π-calculi with locations/encryption, etc. [Sumii, Pierce, et al. POPL'04, POPL'05, ESOP'09, CSL'09, APLAS'09, LICS'12, etc.]
  - Complete (but undecidable)
- Open questions: No context closures?
   Semantic interpretation? Generic framework?
   Parameterizing negative recursion?

# **Talk Outline**

### Background

- Contextual equivalence
- (Non-environmental) bisimulations
- Problems of non-environmental bisimulations
- Environmental bisimulations
- Up-to techniques
- Open questions

# Contextual Equivalence [Morris 73]

Two programs M, N are <u>contextually equivalent</u>  $M \equiv N$ if they "behave the same" under any context

E.g., in pure lambda-calculi,  $M \equiv N$  if  $\forall C. C[M] \Rightarrow true iff C[N] \Rightarrow true$ 

Direct proof is hard because of "∀C"
 ⇒ Proof technique is desired

# (Non-Environmental) Bisimulations

Two programs M, N are <u>bisimilar</u> M ~ N if they can simulate each other's input/output behavior

- Soundness: Bisimilar programs are contextually equivalent
- Completeness: Vice versa
   ⇒ Gives a proof technique for contextual
  - equivalence

# Problems of Non-Environmental Bisimulations (1/2)

### **M** ~ **N** if:

 If M outputs M<sub>1</sub> and becomes M', then N outputs N<sub>1</sub> and becomes N' with M' ~ N'

What condition is needed for M<sub>1</sub> and N<sub>1</sub>?

 "M<sub>1</sub> ~ N<sub>1</sub>" is too strong, because M<sub>1</sub> and M' (N<sub>1</sub> and N') may share a "secret"
 ⇒ Incomplete in impure languages

# Problems of Non-Environmental Bisimulations (2/2)

#### **M** ~ **N** if:

2. If M becomes M' for input M<sub>2</sub>, then N becomes N' for input N<sub>2</sub> with M' ~ N'

<u>What condition is needed for M<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>?</u>

 "M<sub>2</sub> ~ N<sub>2</sub>" is ill-formed, because it appears in a negative position
 ⇒ Bisimilarity (~) may not exist

# **Talk Outline**

- Background
- Environmental bisimulations
  - Key idea
  - General "definition"
  - Specific definitions
- Up-to techniques
- Open questions

# **Environmental Bisimulations**

Key idea: Use <u>relation-indexed relation</u> ~<sub>R</sub> to represent the "changing world" or the "knowledge of the context"

- R is called an environment
- Accounts for the generativity of
  - Locations (in  $\lambda$ -calculus with store),
  - Channels (in higher-order  $\pi$ -calculus), etc.

Complete also in impure languages
Monotone (union-closed) and well-defined

# General "Definition" (1/3)

X is an environmental simulation if  $M X_R N$  implies:

- 1. If  $M \rightarrow M'$ , then  $N \Rightarrow N'$  and  $M' X_R N'$
- 2. If M outputs  $M_1$  and becomes M', then N outputs  $N_1$  and becomes N' with M'  $X_{R \cup \{(M1, N1)\}}$  N'

# General "Definition" (2/3)

X is an environmental simulation if  $M X_R N$  implies: 3. For all  $M_1 R^* N_1$ , if M becomes M' for input  $M_1$ , then N becomes N' for input  $N_1$ with M'  $X_R$  N' – R\* is the <u>context closure</u> of R { (C[M<sub>1</sub>,...,M<sub>n</sub>], C[N<sub>1</sub>,...,N<sub>n</sub>]) |  $\forall i. M_i R N_i$  } – Represents "synthesis of knowledge" by the context

# General "Definition" (3/3)

 X is an environmental <u>bisimulation</u> if both X and X<sup>-1</sup> are environmental simulations
 - X<sup>-1</sup> is defined by (X<sup>-1</sup>)<sub>R</sub> = (X<sub>R</sub>)<sup>-1</sup>

 Environmental bisimilarity (~) is the largest environmental bismulation

## Instance 1: Env. Bisim. for Higher-Order $\pi$ -Calculus (Simplified)

X is an environmental simulation if  $P X_R Q$  implies: 1. If  $P \rightarrow P'$ , then  $Q \Rightarrow Q'$  and  $P' X_R Q'$ 2. If P = c!M.P', then  $Q \Rightarrow c!N.Q'$ and P'  $X_{R \cup \{(M, N)\}}$  Q' 3. If P = c?x.P', then  $Q \Rightarrow c?x.Q'$ and  $P'\{P_1/x\} X_R Q'\{Q_1/x\}$  for all  $P_1 R^* Q_1$ 4.  $P \mid P_1 \mid X_R \mid Q \mid Q_1$  for all  $P_1 \mid R \mid Q_1$ 

## Instance 2: Env. Bisim. for Pure Call-by-Name $\lambda$ -Calculus

X is an environmental simulation if  $M X_R N$  implies: 1. If  $M \rightarrow M'$ , then  $N \Rightarrow N'$ and M'  $X_R$  N' 2. If  $M = \lambda x.M'$ , then  $N \Longrightarrow \lambda x.N'$ and  $\lambda x.M' X_{R \cup \{(\lambda x.M', \lambda x.N')\}} \lambda x.N'$ • Moreover,  $M'\{M_1/x\} X_R N'\{N_1/x\}$ for all  $M_1 R^* N_1$ 

# Simple Example (for Pedagogy)

### $M = \lambda x.(\lambda y.y)x$ and $N = \lambda x.x$

- Consider  $X_0 = \{ (R, M, N) \}$  where  $R = \{ (M, N) \}$ • For any  $M_1 R^* N_1$ ,  $M M_1 \rightarrow (\lambda y.y) M_1 \rightarrow M_1$  $N N_1 \rightarrow N_1$
- Extend X<sub>0</sub> to X =
  { (R\*, (λy.y)M<sub>1</sub>, N<sub>1</sub>), (R\*, M<sub>1</sub>, N<sub>1</sub>) | M<sub>1</sub> R\* N<sub>1</sub> }
  X is an environmental bisimulation

# **Talk Outline**

- Background
- Environmental bisimulation
- Up-to techniques
  - Big-step environmental bisimulation up to reduction and context
- Open questions

# **Big-Step Env. Bisim. up to Reduction and Context**

X is a <u>big-step environmental simulation</u> <u>up to reduction and context</u> if  $M X_R N$  impilies:

• If  $M \Longrightarrow \lambda x.M'$ , then  $N \Longrightarrow \lambda x.N'$  and for all  $M_1 R^* N_1$ ,  $M'\{M_1/x\} \Longrightarrow (X_{R \cup \{(\lambda x.M', \lambda x.N')\}})^* \leftarrow N'\{N_1/x\}$ 

Recall R\* is the context closure of R

## **The Example Revisited**

### $M = \lambda x.(\lambda y.y)x$ and $N = \lambda x.x$

- Take X = { (R, M, N) } where R = {(M, N)}
- For any  $M_1 R^* N_1$ ,
  - $M M_1 \Longrightarrow M_1$
  - R R\*  $R^* = (X_R)^*$

 $\overline{N} \overline{N}_1 \Rightarrow \overline{N}_1$ 

- X is a big-step environmental bisimulation up to reduction and context
  - The proof is now as easy as it should be!

# **Talk Outline**

- Background
- Environmental bisimulation
- Up-to techniques
- Open questions
  - No context closures?
  - Semantic interpretation?
  - Generic framework?
  - Parameterizing negative recursion?

# Open Question 1: No Context Closures?

- X is a big-step env. bisim. up to reduction and context if  $M X_R N$  implies:
- If  $M \Rightarrow \lambda x.M'$ , then  $N \Rightarrow \lambda x.N'$  and for all  $M_1 \stackrel{*}{R} N_1$ ,  $M'\{M_1/x\} \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} X_{R \cup \{(\lambda x.M', \lambda x.N')\}} \end{pmatrix}^{*} \leftarrow N'\{N_1/x\}$
- $R^* = \{ (C[M_1,...,M_n], C[N_1,...,N_n]) | \forall i. M_i R N_i \}$   $\underbrace{Syntactically identical C (not C and C')}_{\Rightarrow Cannot relate "bisimilar contexts"}$

# **Open Question 2: Semantic Interpretation?**

Relation-indexed relation ~<sub>R</sub> to represent the "changing world" or the "knowledge of the context"

What *is it, denotationally?* 

# Open Question 3: Generic Framework?

"Applicable to rich languages"
"General definition"

How to <u>formalize</u>? Generic operational semantics and generic env. bisim.?

## **Open Question 4: Parameterizing Negative Recursion?**

- "λx.M ~ λy.N iff for any M' ~ N' we have M{M'/x} ~ N{N'/x}" is not a valid (co)inductive definition
  - Cf. type t = Abs of  $(t \rightarrow t)$  (\* HOAS \*)  $\Rightarrow$  type 'a t = Abs of ('a  $\rightarrow$  t) (\* PHOAS \*)
- By analogy, "λx.M ~<sub>R</sub> λy.N iff for any M' R N' we have M{M'/x} ~<sub>R</sub> N{N'/x}"?
   Cf. [Hur, Dreyer, et al. POPL'12] is incomplete because of "uncivilized" R's (disrespect equivalence)