USING TYPE FUNCTIONS IN DATAFLOW OPTIMIATION

Simon Peyton Jones (Microsoft Research) Norman Ramsey, John Dias (Tufts University)

March 2010

Control flow graphs



- One entry, perhaps many exits
- Each block has a label
- Each block is a sequence of nodes
- Control transfers at end of block
- Arbitrary control flow

Data flow analysis



Each analysis has

Data flow "facts"

 Transfer function for each node

Data flow transformation



Rewrite each node based on incoming dataflow fact
 Feed rewritten node to the transfer function

Rewriting in general

Each rewrite takes

- A node
- The dataflow fact flowing to that node and returns...what???
- Correct answer: an arbitrary graph!
- Examples: rewrite
 - an instruction to a no-op
 - a block-copy "instruction" to a loop
 - a switch "instruction" to a tree of conditionals
 - a call to the instantiated procedure body (inlining)



 First time round, we may have bogus information



 First time round, we may have bogus information

 Combine facts flowing into a block



 First time round, we may have bogus information

 Combine facts flowing into a block

And iterate to fixed point

Rewrites with fixpoints

- Rewrites based on bogus (nonfinal) "facts" must be discarded
- But they must still be done (speculatively) in order to exploit current "fact"



Lerner/Grove/Chambers

- Many dataflow analyses and optimisations can be done in this "analyse-and-rewrite" framework
- Interleaved rewriting and analysis is essential
- Can combine analyses into "super-analyses".
 Instead of A then B then A then B, do A&B.
- Lerner, Grove, Chambers POPL 2002

Conventional implementations

- Graph implemented using pointers
- Facts decorate the graph; keeping them up to date is painful
- Rewrites implements as mutation; undoing bogus rewrites is a major pain
- Difficult and scary



- Interleaved rewriting and analysis
- Shallow and deep rewriting
- Fixpoint finding for arbitrary control flow
- One function for forward dataflow, one for backward
- Polymorphic in node and fact types

Open and closed

In Hoopl we have:

- Nodes
- Blocks
- Graphs

Y := x > 5

All are parameterised by whether "shape" Open/Closed on entry Open/Closed on exit

X=**Φ**(X1,X2)

goto L2

What is a node?

- Defined by client of Hoopl
- Hoopl is polymorphic in node type

data O	Defined
data C	by Hoopl
data Node e	x where Defined by client
Head	:: Node C O
Assign	:: Reg -> Expr -> Node O O
Store	:: Expr -> Expr -> Node 0 0
Branch	:: BlockId -> Node O C
CondBranc	h :: BlockId -> BlockId -> Node O C
more c	constructors

What is a block?

data Block n e x where -- Defined by Hoopl
BUnit :: n e x -> Block n e x
BCat :: Block n e 0 -> Block n 0 x -> Block n e x

Blocks are non-empty sequences of nodes
Only open/open joins are allowed
Type of block describes its "shape"
BUnit (Assign x e) :: Block 0 0
BUnit (Assign x e) `BCat` BUnit (Branch 11) :: Block 0 C



type LBlocks n = Data.IntMap (Block n C C)

LBlocks is a collection of closed/closed Blocks

Used for the main body of a graph

What is a graph?

type LBlocks n = Data.IntMap (Block n C C)

data Graph n e x where GNil :: Graph n 0 0 GUnit :: Block n e 0 -> Graph n e 0

- GUnit lifts a Block to be a Graph
- GNil is the empty graph (open both ends). Remember, blocks are non-empty, so GUnit won't do for this.

What is a graph?

type LBlocks n = Data.IntMap (Block n C C)





GMany has

- a distinguished entry block (closed at end)
- an arbitrary graph of internal LBlocks (all C/C)
- a "tail" of some kind





Tail :: BlockId -> Block n C O -> Tail n O

- Tail id b => control flows out through b
- NoTail => control leaves graph by gotos only

O/CO/C

Unique representation

GNil :: Graph n 0 0 GUnit :: Block n e 0 -> Graph n e 0 GMany :: Block n e C -> LBlocks n -> Tail n x -> Graph n e x data Tail n x where NoTail :: Tail n C Tail :: BlockId -> Block n C 0 -> Tail n 0

data Graph n e x where

No blocks: GNil

- 1 block:
 - Open at end: (GUnit b)
 - Closed at end : GMany b [] NoTail
- 2 or more blocks:
 - Open at end: GMany be bs (Tail bx)
 - Closed at end: GMany b bs NoTail

Constant-time graph concatenation

```
data LBlock n x = LB BlockId (Block n C x)
data Graph n e x where
  GNil :: Graph n 0 0
  GUnit :: Block n e 0 -> Graph n e 0
  GMany :: Block n e C -> [LBlock n C] -> Tail n x -> Graph n e x
data Tail n x where
  NoTail :: Exit n C
  Tail :: BlockId -> Block n C 0 -> Exit n 0
```



What is HoopIM?

It supports

- Allocating fresh blockIds
- Supply of "optimisation fuel"
- When optimisation fuel is exhausted, no more rewrites are done
- Allows binary search to pin-point a buggy rewrite

What is a dataflow lattice?

data DataflowLattice a = DataflowLattice
 fact_bot :: a,
 fact_extend :: a -> a -> (a, ChangeFlag)
}

data ChangeFlag = NoChange | SomeChange

fact_extend takes

- The "current fact"
- A "new fact"

and returns

- Their least upper bound
- A flag indicating whether the result differs from the "current fact"

What is a rewrite function?

type ForwardRewrites n f
= forall e x. n e x -> f -> Maybe (AGraph n e x)

Takes a node, and a fact and returns

- Nothing => No rewrite, thank you
- Just g => Please rewrite to this graph
- AGraph is a Graph, except that it needs a supply of fresh BlockIds:

type AGraph n e x = BlockIdSupply -> (Graph n e x, BlockIdSupply)

Returned graph is same shape as input!

What is a transfer function?

type ForwardTransfers n f
= forall e x. n e x -> f -> f -- WRONG

What if x=C?



What comes out??? Clearly not one fact!

What is a transfer function?

type ForwardTransfers n f
= forall e x. n e x -> f -> f -- WRONG

What if x=C?



FactBase f

- Then what comes out is type FactBase f = Map BlockId f
- So the result type depends on f
- Type functions to the rescue!

What is a transfer function?

type ForwardTransfers n f
= forall e x. n e x -> f -> OutFact x f

type family OutFact x f
type instance OutFact O f = f
type insatanc OutFact C f = FactBase f

 "Fact" coming out depends on the "x" flag (only) f if (...) then goto L1 else goto L2

FactBase f



Implementing Hoopl

The grand plan



Implementing Hoopl



Deals with fixpoints



Writing arfBlock



Writing arfNode

```
type ARF thing f
 = forall e x. thing e x
                -> f
                -> HooplM (Graph e x, OutFact x f)
type ForwardTransfers n f
 = forall e x. n e x -> f -> OutFact f
type ForwardRewrites n f
 = forall e x. n e x -> f -> Maybe (AGraph n e x)
graphOfAGraph :: AGraph n e x -> HooplM (Graph n e x)
nodeToGraph :: n e x -> Graph n e x -- URK!
```

```
arfNode :: ForwardTransfers n f
```

- -> ForwardRewrites n f
- -> ARF (Graph n) f

```
-> ARF n f
```

```
arfNode tf rw arf_graph n f
= case (rw f n) of
Nothing -> return (nodeToGraph n, tf f n)
Just ag -> do { g <- graphOfAGraph ag
; arf graph g f }</pre>
```

nodeToGraph

data Graph n e x where GNil :: Graph n 0 0 GUnit :: Block n e 0 -> Graph n e 0 GMany :: Block n e C -> LBlocks n -> Tail n x -> Graph n e x

Cannot unify 'e'

with 'O'

nodeToGraph :: n e x -> Graph n e x
nodeToGraph n = GUnit (BUnit n)

Could generalise type of GUnit

Or add class constraint to nodeToGraph

nodeToGraph



class LiftNode x where nodeToGraph :: n e x -> Graph n e x

```
instance LiftNode O where
    nodeToGraph n = GUnit (BUnit n)
```

instance LiftNode C where nodeToGraph n = GMany (BUnit n) [] NoTail

 But since nodeToGraph is overloaded, so must arfNode be overloaded...

Writing arfNode



arfGraph



arfGraph :: DataflowLattice f
 -> ARF (Block n) f -> ARF (Graph n) f

- More complicated: 30 lines of code (!)
 - Three constructors (GNil, GUnit, GMany)
 - The optional Tail
 - Fixpoint
 - Put blocks in topological order to improve convergence

The pièce de resistance

```
analyseAndRewriteFwd
```

```
:: forall n f. Edges n
=> DataflowLattice f -> ForwardTransfers n f
-> ForwardRewrites n f -> RewritingDepth
-> ARF_Graph n f
analyseAndRewriteFwd depth lat tf rw
= anal_rewrite
where
anal_only, anal_rewrite, rec :: ARF_Graph n f
anal_only = arfGraph lat $ arfBlock $ analNode tf
anal rewrite = arfGraph lat $ arfBlock $ arfNode tf rw rec
```

analNode :: ForwardTransfers n f -> ARF_Node n f analNode tf n f = return (nodeToGraph n f, tf f n)

Conclusion

- Old code was 250+ lines, impossible to understand, and probably buggy
- New code is < 100 lines, has many more static checks, and is much easier to understand
- GADTs and type functions play a crucial role