

# The Lifting Lemma

Ralf Hinze

Computing Laboratory, University of Oxford  
Wolfson Building, Parks Road, Oxford, OX1 3QD, England  
`ralf.hinze@comlab.ox.ac.uk`  
<http://www.comlab.ox.ac.uk/ralf.hinze/>

June 2009

# Mathematicians do it . . .

$$(f + g)(x) = f(x) + g(x)$$

... over and ...

$$A + B = \{a + b \mid a \in A, b \in B\}$$

... and over again.

$$(x_1, x_2, x_3) + (y_1, y_2, y_3) = (x_1 + y_1, x_2 + y_2, x_3 + y_3)$$

# Haskell programmers do it ...

```
data Maybe  $\alpha$  = Nothing | Just  $\alpha$ 
```

```
(+)      :: Maybe  $\mathbb{N}$   $\rightarrow$  Maybe  $\mathbb{N}$   $\rightarrow$  Maybe  $\mathbb{N}$ 
```

```
Nothing + n    = Nothing
```

```
m + Nothing    = Nothing
```

```
Just a + Just b = Just (a + b)
```

... over and over again.

$(+)$      $:: \text{IO } \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{IO } \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{IO } \mathbb{N}$

$m + n = \mathbf{do} \{ a \leftarrow m; b \leftarrow n; \mathbf{return} (a + b) \}$

# I do it: lifting

**data** Stream  $\alpha$  = Cons {head ::  $\alpha$ , tail :: Stream  $\alpha$ }

(+) :: Stream  $\mathbb{N}$   $\rightarrow$  Stream  $\mathbb{N}$   $\rightarrow$  Stream  $\mathbb{N}$

s + t = Cons (head s + head t) (tail s + tail t)

Since the arithmetic operations are defined point-wise, the familiar arithmetic laws also hold for streams.



More general, given a point-level identity, does the lifted version hold as well?

$$(x + y) + z = x + (y + z)$$

$$x + y = y + x$$

$$x * 0 = 0$$

# Idioms

# Idioms aka applicative functors

class Idiom  $\iota$  where

pure  $:: \alpha \rightarrow \iota \alpha$

$(\diamond) \quad :: \iota (\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow (\iota \alpha \rightarrow \iota \beta)$

**instance Idiom ( $\tau \rightarrow$ ) where**

**pure a =  $\lambda x \rightarrow a$**

**f  $\diamond$  g =  $\lambda x \rightarrow (f\ x) (g\ x)$**

instance Idiom ( $\tau \rightarrow$ ) where

pure a =  $\lambda x \rightarrow a$

f  $\diamond$  g =  $\lambda x \rightarrow (f\ x)\ (g\ x)$

So, pure is the  $\mathbb{K}$  combinator and  $\diamond$  is the  $\mathbb{S}$  combinator.



**instance** Idiom **Stream** **where**

**pure** a = s **where** s = a < s

s  $\diamond$  t = **Cons** ((**head** s) (**head** t)) (**tail** s  $\diamond$  **tail** t)

# Lifting, generically

$(+)$   $:: (\text{Idiom } \iota) \Rightarrow \iota \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \iota \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \iota \mathbb{N}$

$u + v = \text{pure } (+) \diamond u \diamond v$

$(\star)$   $:: (\text{Idiom } \iota) \Rightarrow \iota \alpha \rightarrow \iota \beta \rightarrow \iota (\alpha, \beta)$

$u \star v = \text{pure } (,) \diamond u \diamond v$

## Idiom laws

$$\text{pure id} \diamond u = u \quad (\text{identity})$$

$$\text{pure } (\cdot) \diamond u \diamond v \diamond w = u \diamond (v \diamond w) \quad (\text{composition})$$

$$\text{pure } f \diamond \text{pure } x = \text{pure } (f \ x) \quad (\text{homomorphism})$$

$$u \diamond \text{pure } x = \text{pure } (\diamond x) \diamond u \quad (\text{interchange})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{pure } f \diamond (u \star v) \\
= & \quad \{ \text{definition of } \star \} \\
& \text{pure } f \diamond (\text{pure } (, ) \diamond u \diamond v) \\
= & \quad \{ \text{idiom composition} \} \\
& \text{pure } (.) \diamond \text{pure } f \diamond (\text{pure } (, ) \diamond u) \diamond v \\
= & \quad \{ \text{idiom homomorphism} \} \\
& \text{pure } (f \cdot) \diamond (\text{pure } (, ) \diamond u) \diamond v \\
= & \quad \{ \text{idiom composition} \} \\
& \text{pure } (.) \diamond \text{pure } (f \cdot) \diamond \text{pure } (, ) \diamond u \diamond v \\
= & \quad \{ \text{idiom homomorphism} \} \\
& \text{pure } ((f \cdot) \cdot (, )) \diamond u \diamond v \\
= & \quad \{ ((f \cdot) \cdot (, )) \text{ x } y = (f \cdot (, ) \text{ x}) y = f ((, ) \text{ x } y) = \text{curry } f \text{ x } y \} \\
& \text{pure } (\text{curry } f) \diamond u \diamond v
\end{aligned}$$

# The Idiomatic Calculus

## Syntax: variables

```
data Ix :: * → * → * where
  Zero :: Ix (ρ, α) α
  Succ :: Ix ρ β → Ix (ρ, α) β
```

# Syntax: terms

**data** **Term** :: \*  $\rightarrow$  \*  $\rightarrow$  \* **where**

**Con** ::  $\alpha \rightarrow$  **Term**  $\rho$   $\alpha$

**Var** :: **Ix**  $\rho$   $\alpha \rightarrow$  **Term**  $\rho$   $\alpha$

**App** :: **Term**  $\rho$  ( $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ )  $\rightarrow$  **Term**  $\rho$   $\alpha \rightarrow$  **Term**  $\rho$   $\beta$

## Semantics: variables

```

data Env :: (* -> *) -> (* -> *) where
  Empty :: Env ι ()
  Push   :: Env ι ρ -> ι α -> Env ι (ρ, α)

```

We write `Empty` as  $\langle \rangle$  and `Push η u` as  $\langle \eta, u \rangle$ .

```

acc          :: Ix ρ α -> Env ι ρ -> ι α
acc Zero    ⟨η, v⟩ = v
acc (Succ n) ⟨η, v⟩ = acc n η

```



## Semantics: terms

$\mathcal{I}[\ ]$  :: (Idiom  $\iota$ )  $\Rightarrow$  Term  $\rho \ \alpha \rightarrow$  Env  $\iota \ \rho \rightarrow \iota \ \alpha$

$\mathcal{I}[\text{Con } v]\eta$  = pure  $v$

$\mathcal{I}[\text{Var } n]\eta$  = acc  $n \ \eta$

$\mathcal{I}[\text{App } e_1 \ e_2]\eta$  =  $\mathcal{I}[e_1]\eta \diamond \mathcal{I}[e_2]\eta$

What about abstraction?

# Syntax

**data** Term :: \*  $\rightarrow$  \*  $\rightarrow$  \* **where**

Con ::  $\alpha \rightarrow$  Term  $\rho$   $\alpha$

Var :: Ix  $\rho$   $\alpha \rightarrow$  Term  $\rho$   $\alpha$

App :: Term  $\rho$  ( $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ )  $\rightarrow$  Term  $\rho$   $\alpha \rightarrow$  Term  $\rho$   $\beta$

Abs :: Term ( $\rho$ ,  $\alpha$ )  $\beta \rightarrow$  Term  $\rho$  ( $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ )

An idiom is very similar to an *applicative structure*.

# Semantics

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{I}[\ ] &:: (\text{Idiom } \iota) \Rightarrow \text{Term } \rho \ \alpha \rightarrow \text{Env } \iota \ \rho \rightarrow \iota \ \alpha \\
 \mathcal{I}[\text{Con } v]\eta &= \text{pure } v \\
 \mathcal{I}[\text{Var } n]\eta &= \text{acc } n \ \eta \\
 \mathcal{I}[\text{App } e_1 \ e_2]\eta &= \mathcal{I}[e_1]\eta \diamond \mathcal{I}[e_2]\eta \\
 \mathcal{I}[\text{Abs } e]\eta &= \text{the unique function } f \text{ such that} \\
 &\quad \forall v . f \diamond v = \mathcal{I}[e]\langle \eta, v \rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

# Uniqueness?

Extensionality:

$$(\forall u . f \diamond u = g \diamond u) \implies f = g$$

# Existence?

Combinatory model condition:

$$\text{pure } \mathbb{K} \diamond u \diamond v = u$$

$$\text{pure } \mathbb{S} \diamond u \diamond v \diamond w = (u \diamond w) \diamond (v \diamond w)$$

Ensures that  $\iota$  has enough points.

Idiomatic interpretation specialised to the identity idiom:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \llbracket \quad \quad \quad \rrbracket &:: \text{Term } \rho \ \alpha \rightarrow \text{Env Id } \rho \rightarrow \alpha \\
 \llbracket \text{Con } v \rrbracket \eta &= v \\
 \llbracket \text{Var } n \rrbracket \eta &= \text{acc } n \ \eta \\
 \llbracket \text{App } e_1 \ e_2 \rrbracket \eta &= (\llbracket e_1 \rrbracket \eta) (\llbracket e_2 \rrbracket \eta) \\
 \llbracket \text{Abs } e \rrbracket \eta &= \lambda v \rightarrow \llbracket e \rrbracket \langle \eta, v \rangle
 \end{aligned}$$



... written in a pointfree style:

$$\begin{aligned} [] &:: \text{Term } \rho \ \alpha \rightarrow \text{Env Id } \rho \rightarrow \alpha \\ [\text{Con } v] &= \mathbb{K} \ v \\ [\text{Var } n] &= \text{acc } n \\ [\text{App } e_1 \ e_2] &= \mathbb{S} \ [e_1] \ [e_2] \\ [\text{Abs } e] &= \text{curry } [e] \end{aligned}$$

# The Lifting Lemma

# The lifting lemma

$$\mathcal{I}[\mathbb{e}] = \text{pure } \llbracket \mathbb{e} \rrbracket$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{pure } (+) \diamond (\text{pure } (*) \diamond u \diamond w) \diamond (\text{pure } (*) \diamond v \diamond w) \\
= & \quad \{ \text{definition of } \mathcal{I} \} \\
& \mathcal{I}[\llbracket \text{Abs } (\text{Abs } (\text{Abs } (2 * 0 + 1 * 0))) \rrbracket] \diamond u \diamond v \diamond w \\
= & \quad \{ \text{lifting lemma} \} \\
& \text{pure } \llbracket \text{Abs } (\text{Abs } (\text{Abs } (2 * 0 + 1 * 0))) \rrbracket \diamond u \diamond v \diamond w \\
= & \quad \{ \text{definition of } \llbracket \rrbracket \} \\
& \text{pure } (\lambda x y z \rightarrow x * z + y * z) \diamond u \diamond v \diamond w \\
= & \quad \{ \text{arithmetic} \} \\
& \text{pure } (\lambda x y z \rightarrow (x + y) * z) \diamond u \diamond v \diamond w \\
= & \quad \{ \text{definition of } \llbracket \rrbracket \} \\
& \text{pure } \llbracket \text{Abs } (\text{Abs } (\text{Abs } ((2 + 1) * 0))) \rrbracket \diamond u \diamond v \diamond w \\
= & \quad \{ \text{lifting lemma} \} \\
& \mathcal{I}[\llbracket \text{Abs } (\text{Abs } (\text{Abs } ((2 + 1) * 0))) \rrbracket] \diamond u \diamond v \diamond w \\
= & \quad \{ \text{definition of } \mathcal{I} \} \\
& \text{pure } (*) \diamond (\text{pure } (+) u v) \diamond w
\end{aligned}$$

# The lifting lemma, general form

$$\mathcal{I}[\llbracket e \rrbracket] \eta = \text{pure } \llbracket e \rrbracket \diamond \text{zip } \eta$$

$$\text{zip} \quad \quad \quad :: (\text{Idiom } \iota) \Rightarrow \text{Env } \iota \ \rho \rightarrow \iota \ (\text{Env Id } \rho)$$

$$\text{zip } \langle \rangle \quad = \text{pure } \langle \rangle$$

$$\text{zip } \langle \eta, v \rangle = \text{pure } \langle , \rangle \diamond \eta \diamond v$$

## Proof: Case $e = \text{Con } v$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{pure } \llbracket \text{Con } v \rrbracket \diamond \text{zip } \eta \\
 = & \quad \{ \text{definition of } \llbracket \rrbracket \} \\
 & \text{pure } (\mathbb{K} v) \diamond \text{zip } \eta \\
 = & \quad \{ \text{idiom homomorphism} \} \\
 & \text{pure } \mathbb{K} \diamond \text{pure } v \diamond \text{zip } \eta \\
 = & \quad \{ \text{combinatory model condition I} \} \\
 & \text{pure } v \\
 = & \quad \{ \text{definition of } \mathcal{I} \} \\
 & \mathcal{I} \llbracket \text{Con } v \rrbracket \eta
 \end{aligned}$$

## Proof: Case $e = \text{Var } n$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{pure } \llbracket \text{Var } n \rrbracket \diamond \text{zip } \eta \\ = & \quad \{ \text{definition of } \llbracket \cdot \rrbracket \} \\ & \text{pure } (\text{acc } n) \diamond \text{zip } \eta \\ = & \quad \{ \text{Lemma: } \text{pure } (\text{acc } n) \diamond \text{zip } \eta = \text{acc } n \eta \} \\ & \text{acc } n \eta \\ = & \quad \{ \text{definition of } \mathcal{I} \} \\ & \mathcal{I} \llbracket \text{Var } n \rrbracket \eta \end{aligned}$$

## Proof: Case $e = \text{App } e_1 \ e_2$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{pure } \llbracket \text{App } e_1 \ e_2 \rrbracket \diamond \text{zip } \eta \\
 = & \quad \{ \text{definition of } \llbracket \cdot \rrbracket \} \\
 & \text{pure } (\mathbb{S} \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket \llbracket e_2 \rrbracket) \diamond \text{zip } \eta \\
 = & \quad \{ \text{idiom homomorphism} \} \\
 & \text{pure } \mathbb{S} \diamond \text{pure } \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket \diamond \text{pure } \llbracket e_2 \rrbracket \diamond \text{zip } \eta \\
 = & \quad \{ \text{combinatory model condition II} \} \\
 & (\text{pure } \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket \diamond \text{zip } \eta) \diamond (\text{pure } \llbracket e_2 \rrbracket \diamond \text{zip } \eta) \\
 = & \quad \{ \text{ex hypothesi} \} \\
 & \mathcal{I} \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket \eta \diamond \mathcal{I} \llbracket e_2 \rrbracket \eta \\
 = & \quad \{ \text{definition of } \mathcal{I} \} \\
 & \mathcal{I} \llbracket \text{App } e_1 \ e_2 \rrbracket \eta
 \end{aligned}$$



## Proof: Case $e = \text{Abs } e$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{pure } \llbracket \text{Abs } e \rrbracket \diamond \text{zip } \eta \\ = & \quad \{ \text{definition of } \llbracket \cdot \rrbracket \} \\ & \text{pure } (\text{curry } \llbracket e \rrbracket) \diamond \text{zip } \eta \\ = & \quad \{ \text{proof obligation (see next slide)} \} \\ & f \\ = & \quad \{ \text{definition of } \mathcal{I} \} \\ & \mathcal{I} \llbracket \text{Abs } e \rrbracket \eta \end{aligned}$$

## Proof obligation

$$\text{pure } (\text{curry } \llbracket e \rrbracket) \diamond \text{zip } \eta = f$$

$$\iff \{ \text{extensionality} \}$$

$$\text{pure } (\text{curry } \llbracket e \rrbracket) \diamond \text{zip } \eta \diamond v = f \diamond v$$

$$\iff \{ \text{definition of } f \}$$

$$\text{pure } (\text{curry } \llbracket e \rrbracket) \diamond \text{zip } \eta \diamond v = \mathcal{I}[\llbracket e \rrbracket] \langle \eta, v \rangle$$

$$\iff \{ \text{curry-lemma (see above)} \}$$

$$\text{pure } \llbracket e \rrbracket \diamond (\text{zip } \eta \star v) = \mathcal{I}[\llbracket e \rrbracket] \langle \eta, v \rangle$$

$$\iff \{ \text{definition of zip} \}$$

$$\text{pure } \llbracket e \rrbracket \diamond (\text{zip } \langle \eta, v \rangle) = \mathcal{I}[\llbracket e \rrbracket] \langle \eta, v \rangle$$

$$\iff \{ \text{ex hypothesisi} \}$$

True

## What about . . .

- $\tau \rightarrow$ :  $\checkmark$ ;
- **Set**:  $\checkmark$ , but  $\lambda$ I-calculus;
- **Vector**:  $\checkmark$ ;
- **Maybe**:  $\checkmark$ , but  $\lambda$ I-calculus;
- **IO**:  $\checkmark$ , but NF Lemma;
- **Stream**:  $\checkmark$ .