Towards Interface Types for Haskell Work in Progress

Peter Thiemann

Joint work with Stefan Wehr Universität Freiburg, Germany

WG 2.8 Meeting, Nesjavellir, Island, 17.07.2007

<ロ> <同> <目> <目> <目> <目> <目> <目> <目> <日</p>

What is a type class?

◆□ → ◆□ → ◆ 三 → ◆ 三 → のへで

What is a type class?

• A type class is a signature of an abstract data type.

What is a type class?

A type class is a signature of an abstract data type.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

But where is the abstract type?

Example: HDBC interface

Signature of abstract data type

module HDBC where class Connection conn where exec :: conn -> String -> IO QueryResult

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

Example: HDBC interface

Signature of abstract data type

```
module HDBC where
class Connection conn where
exec :: conn -> String -> IO QueryResult
```

Implementation of abstract data type

```
module PostgreSQLDB where
import HDBC
instance Connection PostgreSQLConnection where
exec = pgsqlExec
```

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

Example: HDBC interface

Signature of abstract data type

```
module HDBC where
class Connection conn where
exec :: conn -> String -> IO QueryResult
```

Implementation of abstract data type

```
module PostgreSQLDB where
import HDBC
instance Connection PostgreSQLConnection where
exec = pgsqlExec
```

Extending the abstract data type

class Connection conn => BetterConnection conn where notify :: conn -> String -> IO ()

Why want an abstract type?

Encapsulation

What is a good type for connect?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Why want an abstract type?

Encapsulation

What is a good type for connect?

Can do with

connectWith :: URL -> (forall c. Connection c => c -> IO a) -> IO a

- but: requires user code in continuation
- no "connection value" that can be stored
- not possible as member of class Connection

Why want an abstract type?

Encapsulation

What is a good type for connect?

Can do with

connectWith :: URL -> (forall c. Connection c => c -> IO a) -> IO a

- but: requires user code in continuation
- no "connection value" that can be stored
- not possible as member of class Connection

How about

connect :: URL -> IO Connection

where Connection behaves like a Java interface type?

A Design Proposal

• Type class $I \Rightarrow$ interface type I

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = 三 のへで

Type I is exists c. (I c) => c

A Design Proposal

- Type class $I \Rightarrow$ interface type I
- Type I is exists c. (I c) => c
- Subtyping for interface types if I is a subclass of J, then I ≤ J
- Subtyping for instance types if *t* is an instance type of J, then *t* ≤ J

< 日 > < 日 > < 日 > < 日 > < 日 > < 日 > < 日 > < 日 > < 0 < 0

A Design Proposal

- Type class $I \Rightarrow$ interface type I
- Type I is exists c. (I c) => c
- Subtyping for interface types if I is a subclass of J, then I ≤ J
- Subtyping for instance types if *t* is an instance type of J, then *t* ≤ J
- Introduction by type annotation ⇒ no new syntax

< 日 > < 日 > < 日 > < 日 > < 日 > < 日 > < 日 > < 日 > < 0 < 0

Example Patterns of Use

```
    Create a connection
```

betterConnect :: URL -> IO BetterConnection
betterConnect url =
 do c <- pgconnect url
 -- c :: PGSQLConnection
 return (c :: BetterConnection)</pre>

Wrapper

```
dbwrapper :: URL -> (URL -> IO Connection) -> IO Result
dbwrapper url connect =
do c <- connect url
do_something c
```

... dbwrapper url betterConnect ...

Worker

```
worker :: Connection -> IO Result
withBetterConnection :: (BetterConnection -> IO a) -> IO a
```

... withBetterConnection worker ...

Surprise!

Everything needed is (almost) there

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三 ● ● ●

Existential Types in Haskell

data T_Connection where

T_Connection :: **forall** conn. Connection conn => conn -> T_Connection **data** T_BetterConnection **where** T_BetterConnection :: **forall** conn. BetterConnection conn => conn -> T_BetterConnection

instance T_Connection Connection where ... instance T_Connection BetterConnection where ... instance T_BetterConnection BetterConnection where ...

- Tagged existentials
- Need pattern match to unpack

Subtyping in Haskell

There is no subtyping in Haskell!

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ の < @

Subtyping in Haskell

- There is no subtyping in Haskell!

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Subtyping in Haskell

- There is no subtyping in Haskell!
- And there is the double negation equivalence:

exists a. P => T = (forall a. P => T -> x) -> x

< 日 > < 日 > < 日 > < 日 > < 日 > < 日 > < 日 > < 日 > < 0 < 0

Subtyping in Haskell

- There is no subtyping in Haskell!
- ► But, there is the generic instance relation: forall c. BetterConnection c => c -> T ≤ forall c. Connection c => c -> T
- And there is the double negation equivalence:

```
exists a. P => T = (forall a. P => T -> x) -> x
```

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

 Approach: Translate existential types to (higher-rank) polymorphism where possible

Example Translation

Create a Connection

betterConnect :: URL -> IO BetterConnection
betterConnect url =
 do c <- pgconnect url
 -- c :: PGSQLConnection
 return (c :: BetterConnection)</pre>

translates to

betterConnect' :: URL -> IO T_BetterConnection
betterConnect' url =
 do c <- pgconnect url
 return (T_BetterConnection c)</pre>

Example Translation

Wrapper

```
dbwrapper :: URL -> (URL -> IO Connection) -> IO Result
dbwrapper url connect =
do c <- connect url
do_something c
```

... dbwrapper url betterConnect ...

```
translates to
```

```
dbwrapper' :: URL -> forall c. Connection c => (URL -> IO c) -> IO Result
dbwrapper' url connect =
    do c <- connect url
        do_something c</pre>
```

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

betterConnect' :: URL -> IO T_BetterConnection ... dbwrapper' url betterConnect' ...

Example Translation

Worker

worker :: Connection -> IO Result withBetterConnection :: (BetterConnection -> IO a) -> IO a

... withBetterConnection worker ...

translates to

worker' :: **forall** c . Connection c => c -> IO Result withBetterConnection' :: (**forall** c. BetterConnection c => c -> IO a) -> IO a

```
... withBetterConnection' worker' ...
```

Translational Approach

- Starting point: Haskell with higher-rank polymorphism (as in current implementations)
- Extensions:

Extended syntax of types

$$s, t ::= \cdots | \mathbf{I}$$

Typing rules

$$(E\text{-ann'}) \frac{P \mid \Gamma \vdash' e: s \quad s \leq t}{P \mid \Gamma \vdash' (e::t): t}$$
$$(E\text{-sub'}) \frac{P \mid \Gamma \vdash' e: s \quad s \leq' t}{P \mid \Gamma \vdash' e: t}$$

Subtyping

$$(S\text{-refl}) t \leq t \qquad (S\text{-trans}) \frac{t_1 \leq t_2 \quad t_2 \leq t_3}{t_1 \leq t_3}$$
$$(S\text{-subclass}) \frac{\mathbf{l} \Rightarrow_C \mathbf{J}}{\mathbf{l} \leq \mathbf{J}} \qquad (S\text{-instance}) \frac{m \in_I \mathbf{J}}{m \leq \mathbf{J}}$$
$$(S\text{-tycon}) \frac{\overline{s} \leq \overline{t}}{T \, \overline{s} \leq T \, \overline{t}} \qquad (S\text{-fun}) \frac{t_1 \leq s_1 \quad s_2 \leq t_2}{s_1 \rightarrow s_2 \leq t_1 \rightarrow t_2}$$
$$(S\text{-qual}) \frac{s \leq t}{\forall a \ \Omega \Rightarrow s \leq \forall a \ \Omega \Rightarrow t}$$

Restricted Subtyping



◆□ > ◆□ > ◆ 三 > ◆ 三 > ○ ○ ○

Restricted Subtyping

$$t \leq t \qquad \frac{t_1 \leq t_2 \quad t_2 \leq t_3}{t_1 \leq t_3} \qquad \frac{\overline{s} \leq \overline{t}}{\overline{t} \, \overline{s} \leq \overline{t} \, \overline{t}}$$
$$\frac{t_1 \leq s_1 \quad s_2 \leq t_2}{\overline{s_1 \to s_2} \leq t_1 \to t_2}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三 ● ● ●

Restricted subtyping vs generic instance

Lemma

If $s \leq t$ and $s \rightsquigarrow s'$ and $t \rightsquigarrow t'$ then true $\vdash s' \leq t'$.

Translation of Types

$$a \rightsquigarrow' \Box/a \qquad \frac{\overline{t_i} \leadsto' \overline{C'_i/t'_i}}{T \,\overline{t} \leadsto' \,\operatorname{mapT}(\lambda x. C'_i[x])} \Box/T \,\overline{t'}}$$

$$\frac{t_1 \rightsquigarrow \pi_1 \sharp t'_1 \quad t_2 \leadsto' C_2/t'_2}{t_1 \rightarrow t_2 \leadsto' \lambda x. C_2[\Box x]/\pi_1(t'_1 \rightarrow t'_2)} \qquad I \leadsto' K_I \Box/W_I$$

$$\frac{t \leadsto' C'/t'}{\forall a.P \Rightarrow t \leadsto' C'/\forall a.P \Rightarrow t'}$$

$$a \leadsto \emptyset \sharp a \qquad \frac{\overline{t} \leadsto \overline{\pi} \sharp \overline{t'}}{T \,\overline{t} \leadsto \overline{\pi} \sharp T \,\overline{t'}} \qquad \frac{t_1 \leadsto \pi_1 \sharp t'_1 \quad t_2 \leadsto \pi_2 \sharp t'_2}{t_1 \rightarrow t_2 \leadsto \pi_2 \sharp \pi_1(t'_1 \rightarrow t'_2)}$$

$$I \leadsto \forall c.I \, c \Rightarrow \sharp c \qquad \frac{t \leadsto \pi \sharp t'}{\forall a.Q \Rightarrow t \leadsto \pi \sharp \forall a.Q \Rightarrow t'}$$

▲ロ▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ≣ のQ@

Translation of Terms

 $x \hookrightarrow x \qquad \frac{e \hookrightarrow e'}{\lambda x. e \hookrightarrow \lambda x. e'} \qquad \frac{e \hookrightarrow e' \quad s \rightsquigarrow \emptyset \sharp s'}{\lambda (x :: s). e \hookrightarrow \lambda (x :: s'). e'}$ $e \hookrightarrow e' \quad s \rightsquigarrow \forall \overline{c}. Q \ \sharp \ s' \quad s \rightsquigarrow' \ C'/s''$ $\lambda(\mathbf{x} :: \mathbf{s}).\mathbf{e} \hookrightarrow \Lambda \overline{\mathbf{c}}(\mathbf{Q}).\lambda(\mathbf{y} :: \mathbf{s}').(\lambda(\mathbf{x} :: \mathbf{s}'').\mathbf{e}')(\mathbf{C}'[\mathbf{y}])$ $\frac{f \hookrightarrow f' \quad e \hookrightarrow e'}{f \, e \hookrightarrow f' \, e'}$ $e \hookrightarrow e' \quad f \hookrightarrow f'$ let $x = e in f \hookrightarrow let x = e' in f'$ $e \hookrightarrow e' \quad s \rightsquigarrow' C'/s'$ $(e:s) \hookrightarrow (C'[e']:s')$

Results

Let P | Γ' ⊢ e' : s' be the typing judgment for Haskell with higher-rank qualified polymorphism.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

▶ If $P | \Gamma \vdash' e : s, s \rightsquigarrow' s', \Gamma \rightsquigarrow' \Gamma'$, and $e \hookrightarrow e'$, then $P | \Gamma' \vdash e' : s'$.

Conclusions

- Type translation maps subtyping to generic instantiation
- Term translation is typing preserving
- Both are purely syntactic
- Q: Is the term translation meaning preserving?
- Q: Is the translated term amenable to type inference?
- Q: Can we do direct inference and translation to F2?
- If Java interface types make sense for Haskell, then how about type classes for Java?

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Conclusions

- Type translation maps subtyping to generic instantiation
- Term translation is typing preserving
- Both are purely syntactic
- Q: Is the term translation meaning preserving?
- Q: Is the translated term amenable to type inference?
- Q: Can we do direct inference and translation to F2?
- If Java interface types make sense for Haskell, then how about type classes for Java? ⇒ JavaGI @ECOOP'07

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Digression: The ML way

```
signature CONNECTION =
  sig type connection
      val exec : connection -> string -> gueryresult
3
4 end
5
  signature BETTERCONNECTION =
  sig type connection
7
      val exec : connection -> string -> queryresult
8
      val notify : connection -> string -> unit
9
  end
10
11
  structure PostgreSQL : BETTERCONNECTION =
  struct type connection = postgreSQLConnection
13
         val exec = ...
14
         val notify = ...
15
16 end
```

- Encapsulation and Extensibility: BETTERCONNECTION <: CONNECTION
- But: application code as a functor taking a connection.